

C. G. F. 7]

2 2 is 510/54.

COORG GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

File No.

Serial No.....

195 .

()

B Collection

Month and Year of Record 195

Shandies

SUBJECT

Permission to hold weekly shandies at Bhagamandla
Not considered.

Previous References

Later References

File No. of 195

Subject:- Permission to hold weekly
Shandies at Bhagmandla -

Enc Endorsement no: 29053 dated 23.1.1953
from the Assistant Commissioner of
Coorg District

1 - The Bhagmandla Village Panchayat Board
at its meeting held on 26.12.1952
resolved to hold weekly Shandya on
Thursdays as the residents of
the place are experiencing much
difficulty due to the non-availability
of things locally

2 - The Assistant Commissioner has
submitted the proposal of the Panchayat
Board for the approval of the Government.

3 In this connection it is submitted
that formation of Shandies are made
according to the conveniences of the
people and the development of the same
cannot be stopped.

④ (V) 4

According to ^{Section} 16 (i) of the Coorg
Village Panchayat Act the construction

and Control of Markets - are under the
Control of the Coorg District Board

In the circumstances mentioned above 5
it is for orders whether the sanction
sought for may be given or the
reference forwarded to the Ex. Officer
President, Coorg District Board for
disposal under Section 16 of the Coorg Village
Panchayat Act.

ch-secy

If approved the letter will be 6

forwarded to the President of the

District Board for Remarks

approved 26/2/53 3669
K (Lto)

26/2/53

4353

Endorsement on the P. u. e part up
for signature

7095
3/52 Panchayat
dated 9.3.53.

5/3/53

155 7/3/53
3 13

Deport

Arch. Supt.

14/11/53

Reminders submitted
29.12.53

20/12/53

Remind. by Mr. Sir
Chak has not come to 54 for work
has more a reminder to the 2 weeks
if not deposit paper?

Issued

Subject:- Opening of a shandy in the Bhagamandala Village
Panchayat.

The Village Panchayat, Bhagamandala; applied for permission to run a weekly shandy at Bhagamandala, in December 1952. The A.C. now submits the paper saying that it is doubtful if a successful shandy could be held all the year round at Bhagamandala but a trial may be given.

2 It is not stated in the report where exactly the shandy will be held. From the plan enclosed to the file it is seen that the shandy is to be held in three Sy.Nos, all of which belong to the Temple Funds. It has not been ascertained whether the Temple Funds Committee have any objection to the shandy being held on their land. It is also not clear why the shandy is to be held on three Sy. Nos. It is not quite clear whether the area where the shandy is to be held is within the panchayat limits. All these information must have been given in the report.

3 It has also not been stated whether the Panchayat proposes to put up temporary stalls though there is no possibility of putting up permanent buildings. The question whether the Panchayat will claim any additional grant either from the District Board or the Govt ^{after DB is abolished} when it begins to run a shandy has also not been examined. Control of markets is not ordinarily the function of Panchayat. Under section 16 of the Coorg Village Panchayat Act, the district board may assign to a village panchayat the function of control of markets and in that case it has to make adequate contributions as required under the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 26 of the Act. It is also not known whether the Panchayat proposes to levy ~~xxxx~~ market fees on traders who expose goods for sale in the shandy. If this is done the Panchayat can augment ~~xxxx~~ its income, to some extent. But the Panchayat seems to have a shandy more from the point of view of convenience than from increasing its revenues.

(D)

4

Moreover it is a point for consideration if a weekly shandy at Bhagamandala is a necessity and will be successful. As Bhagamandala is ~~xxxxxxx~~ not within the easy reach of the merchants of Mercara or Virajpet no merchants from these places will ever go to Bagamandala and trade there on shandy days. The merchants of Bagamandala may not also take their things to the shandy and sell there, much less so if they have to pay market fees, when they ^{can} very easily do business in their present premises alone. Anyhow a trial may be given as proposed by the Assistant Commissioner. But before passing final orders in the matter it seems better to call for information on the points mentioned in paras ~~2~~ 2 and 3 of these notes. For orders.

26/8

C Secy

5

Although the construction and control of markets is not one of the normal functions of Panchayats the DI Board may under Section 16 of the Village Panchayats Act. authorize the Panchayat to exercise the function of running ^{the Bhagamandala} markets. As there is a demand for opening a market the ex-officio. President of the DI Board may authorize the Panchayat Board to take necessary steps to open a weekly shandy at Bhagamandala at some suitable date, on a day convenient to the residents and neighbouring areas. Perhaps Monday may be a convenient day inasmuch as Thursday is a shandy day at Muvund. Perumal St. and north

Constructed for the time being. ~~The A.C.~~ may
be asked to take appropriate steps in the matter
and to submit the necessary Notification for
approval of Govt. The weekly Wardi day need
not be changed and it may be held on Mondays.

For Orders

Wf


28/8/54

M. L. O.


I doubt whether a Shandy
could be successfully organized C. C. 6

at Bhajmandla. Shandies
are not usually started in
a peremptory manner, but they
~~are~~ spontaneously grow. Such
a spontaneity is lacking at
Bhajmandla. Probably when the
Kanke Road is opened there may
be some favourable conditions
coming into existence for the
~~good~~ growth and development
of a Shandy. Under this
all attempts may become futile.
Therefore no immediate action
need be taken in this regard.


Km
2/9



1/ I am against starting a slandies
 unless the village is notified and makes
 arrangements for proper slandies hut
 & sanitary arrangements. In future
 I suggest that no slandies should be allowed
 unless Pucca huts & sanitary
 arrangements etc & proper Pucca
 roads are made. unless we insist
 on this we shall go on advertising
 & encouraging to the insanitary condition



2/9
 12.9.54
 Kura
 20/9



Please see paras. 6 and 1 of these notes. A
 draft ~~letter~~ letter put up for approval.
 P. 23/9

C. Leay

Issue
 25/9/54

Let 26/9/54

D. Kura
 28/11/54
 188th. Super

Until then, all attempts, ^{we require a change there} may become futile. I am therefore to
10
state that no immediate action
need be taken in this regard.

In this connection, I am
to ~~advise~~ ^{suggest} ~~and suggest~~ that
in future starting of Shandies in
village Panchayats or notified
Areas ^{should not be encouraged} unless ~~proper~~ proper
arrangements for proper Shandy
huts, Sanitary conditions and
good roads are made and that these
proposals may be kept in view while considering
proposals for Shandy in any place in the state and future.
I am to return herewith ^{correspondence} ~~correspondence~~
your ^{indorsement} ~~indorsement~~ no. 17527, dated ^{bearing} ~~bearing~~
23.8.1954. is returned herewith

yours faithfully

Chief Sec.
Bd
23/8. 1954. 1028/236

a

No. B. Law. 4587 Merema. the 12th Sept. 1954.

To,

The Asst. Commr.

Coorg, Merema.

Sir

Sub: Opening of a Shandy in the
Bhagamandla village Panchayat

I am directed to refer to your endorsement no. 17524, dated the 23rd August, 1954 on the subject mentioned above and to state that it is ~~very doubtful~~ ^{considered} whether a Shandy could be successfully organised at Bhagamandla. I am to add that Shandies are not usually started in a peremptory manner, but they grow spontaneously and that such a spontaneity is lacking at Bhagamandla. I am however to observe that when the Karike Road is opened, there may be some favourable conditions coming into existence for the growth and development of a Shandy at Bhagamandla.

Reference: - Endorsement no. 10
30.1.1954, from the Ex. office President
Copy District Board.

The P.W. may please be perused
The paper under reference was sent
to the President D.B. in original,
as may be seen from para 8 of the
notes. Hence, the question of giving
copy of the letter does not arise

To the circumstances mentioned, the
Ex. office President will be informed of the
fact and asked to trace out the
reference, and report in due course.

The Endorsement on the P.W. put up
2/2/1954 signature

keeb/2/2

ed on 22.1.1954

~~Dodge~~

~~Asst. Supt.
Mikil
25/1/54~~